

Registration Number Candidate Name	South Lantau Candidates - T01				Discovery Bay Candidates - T06	
	#1 Randy Yu	#2 Mr Lau	#3 Mr Yuen	#4 Clara Tam	#1 Francis Chiu	#2 Amy Yung
This summarises the candidate's position on each of the issues:	Replied in Chinese	Replied in Chinese	No Written Reply Received	Replied in English	Replied in English	Replied in English
<b>1. Pui O Wetlands</b>	Confrontation with property owners won't solve the problem. We have to balance the property owners' rights with concern for the environment. Long neglect led to the current problem which is ultimately the government's responsibility. Near term: I'll try to persuade property owners not to dump waste. Long term: the rights of all stakeholders should be balanced. I have proposed a government-sponsored conservation fund to compensate property owners for their sacrifice in protecting the environment.	Erect barrier to protect wetland against dumping. Post warning. Ensure law enforcement by police and raise public awareness.	Verbal response in Chinese for all questions was translated as "he can only know what he will do specifically after he wins"	Law enforcement and prosecution is the near-term solution. Waste reduction and recycling is the long-term solution.	Police should check roads leading to wetlands, stopping trucks that carry waste to be dumped there.	Rezone wetland as "Sites of Special Scientific Interest" to protect against development. Joint action by police with all government departments concerned to deter waste dumping.
<b>a. Do you support the concept of the long term preservation of the Pui O wetlands?</b>	Not answered	Yes		Yes	Not Answered	Yes
<b>2. Transport in South Lantau</b>	Before there is TD's commitment to solve the parking and road conditions problems in South Lantau, more car permits and opening of South Lantau Road should not be considered. On Mui Wo, in addition to raising the attention of relevant government departments, I have proposed the building of multi-storey parking facilities. Taxi permits should be increased, but the number needs consultation with all stakeholders. The entire transportation infrastructure in Lantau including ferry services, bicycle paths, should be evaluated.	Against more car permits. Support more parking space and multi-storey parking facilities. Increase bus frequency and decrease ferry fare. More taxi permits.		Near term: Use Lantau infrastructure capacity to set upper limit for car permits. Long term: Create Lantau as a green zone where only bicycles and electric cars are allowed. Bus: reserve pool should be created to meet traffic surge in weekends/holidays. Taxi: more permits. Ferry: increase frequency, improve efficiency, holiday surcharge is unfair and counterproductive.	Oppose more car permits. Heavy toll imposed on non Mui Wo-based cars entering Mui Wo. Bicycles should be encouraged with more daily rental arrangement available.	Traffic, environmental, and social impact studies should be conducted. Public hearing should be held. For Mui Wo, better transport service should be provided to discourage private car use. New ferry service connecting coast points should be implemented. Subsidise existing ferry service. Support oil stabilisation scheme.
<b>a. Do you support the TD proposal to allow the number of closed road permits to be increased?</b>	Not answered	No		No	Not Answered	No
<b>b. Do you have a workable solution for the Mui Wo parking problem?</b>	Yes	Yes		Yes	Not Answered	Yes
<b>c. Do you support the idea of improved public transport for South Lantau?</b>	Yes	Yes		Yes	Not Answered	Yes
<b>3. Engagement with South Lantau Residents.</b>	Very important. But sometimes even district councillors are not aware of government consultation activities. I will demand transparency and communication with all stakeholders. Public hearing is a viable avenue.	Will commit. Already did so with car permits issue, hosting public hearing in Tai O.		Top priority. Propose regular public meetings on district council matters. All meetings and reports should be bilingual.	Yes.	Had conducted public hearings on incinerator and waste management.
<b>a. Will you commit to holding public discussion meetings on a regular basis?</b>	Not answered	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
<b>4. East Lantau Metropolis (ELM) Proposals.</b>	The project is worth exploring from an overall Hong Kong point of view. But the needs must be justified and I requested a study. I have urged the government to improve on transparency and communication with all stakeholders before making a decision. The rules governing the operations of LANDAC such as transparency have to be agreed by all members to avoid argument and conflict. Unattributed opinions expressed by members will allow candid exchange of opinions. I support increase in transparency, but it has to be agreed by the members.	Against land reclamation. Concerned about environmental damage of proposed Mui Wo-North Lantau connection. Concerned that East Lantau Metropolis will lead to more metropolises and destroy Hong Kong's garden. Total support for more transparency.		Absolutely against it. LANDAC is narrowly focused on development excluding participation by environmental experts and citizens at large. It is illegitimate. Its members have conflicts of interest. Community should be represented.	Acceptable if conservation of environment is the top priority. Discovery Bay is such an example where well-defined boundaries allow the surrounding environment to remain unspoiled. Developers should not dominate development plans.	Against the concept: destroys environment and not cost-effective. Public should be allowed to attend LANDAC meetings. All documents should be made public.
<b>a. Do you support the ELM proposals?</b>	Not answered	No		No	Qualified yes.	No
<b>b. Do you support the principle of LANDAC operating in a more open and transparent way?</b>	Not answered	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
<b>5. Incinerator on Shek Kwu Chau</b>	Against expansion since enough damage has been done to environment. However, if suitable compensation is made, environmental damage can be avoided and space is available, the idea can be considered subject to agreement by local residents. Waste separation and recycling is the ultimate solution though.	Against further expansion.		Against. Incineration is not the answer to waste management. Separation of waste and recycling is.	Totally oppose.	Objected from the very beginning.
<b>a. Will you support any further expansion of the facility on Shek Kwu Chau?</b>	Not answered	No		No	No	No
<b>6. Broadband Internet on South Lantau</b>	Service needs improvement but response from service provider is not encouraging. In discussion with four village committees to evaluate all options and their impact.	Near term: waterproof outdoor network equipment and increase speed of copper wire transmission. Long term: lay fiber network.		Propose using mobile infrastructure and new capability like 4G to supplement traditional landline-based broadband service.	Will work with service providers to improve while not affecting environment.	Supported motion to review Telecommunications Ordinances to prevent price discrimination and unfair treatment of Island residents.
<b>a. Do you agree that action must be taken to improve the broadband service to South Lantau?</b>	Yes	Yes		Yes	Not answered	Yes
<b>7. Prison Closures / Sea Ranch</b>	All stakeholders should be involved in evaluating the proposal to vacate prisons for development as to whether it will contribute to sustainable development. A long term view should be taken. Sea Ranch is a private property. Unless the owners request input, it is inappropriate for outsiders to get involved.	Prison closing and tourism development are not mutually exclusive. Needs more investigation. A daring proposal is to convert Sea Ranch into a hostel for cyclists to develop cycling tourism.		No problem with co-existing with prisons which can prevent new development. Sea Ranch residents, not outsiders, should decide its future.	Unless there is suitable measure to control the number of tourists so that the environment will not be ruined, I oppose any initiative to attract more tourists to Lantau.	Independent assessment of impact and communication with affected community should be conducted. Transportation service should be provided.
<b>a. Should prisons be closed to allow development for tourism on South Lantau?</b>	Not answered	No		No	Not Answered	No comment.
<b>b. Should Sea Ranch be developed?</b>	Not answered	Yes		No. Not our call.	No opinion	No
<b>8. South Lantau Development</b>	No big change should be made to alter current lifestyle. Unique character should be preserved. Government should not neglect Lantau because of low population density. Should fight for better social, medical, emergency ambulance, telecom, village sewerage, education, services including reopening South Lantau high school. Conserve natural environment. Create multicultural society living in harmony. Stop the loss of population in more remote villages.	South Lantau should retain its natural environment for easy living. Meet locals' need and retain traditional culture. No commercial development in country parks. No high rise and malls in South and East Lantau. Construct electric outlets for electric cars and bicycle paths along South Lantau coastline.		South Lantau should remain a bio-diverse natural reserve of Hong Kong where animals, protected species, plants and a multi-cultural population can live in harmony through democratic, participatory and transparent governance. A green zone filled with bicycles and electric cars.	Unique natural environment should be conserved. Rural South Lantau should be left unchanged. Urban development should be done in areas that are already developed and the residents are open to development. More control on the number of urban visitors should be implemented so that South Lantau will not be destroyed by the people who are attracted by the region.	South Lantau should retain its natural, pollution-free, low-density population environment to remain as the garden of Hong Kong. Country parks, coastal protection area and special sites of scientific interest should be protected.
<b>a. Is South Lantau worth preserving in a condition similar to today?</b>	Not answered	Yes		Yes	Not answered	Yes
<b>Please add any further clarification or points you would like to make about the above issues:</b>	None	None		None	None	None